

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,533	03/01/2004	Jon Taylor	6111-2	2748	
23628	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
	FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			3754		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,533	TAYLOR, JON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Melvin A. Cartagena	3754		

•		1			
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nlianna with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	no of the date of		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauco		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cotca ciaims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	il be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-5</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 6-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	lered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance		
See Continuation Sheet.		1 m			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	(o(s)	7		
13. Other:					
	10	KEVIN SHAVER	wen		
	SUPERIVIS	ORY PATENT EXAM	NER ·		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claims 6 and 10 contain limitations to a liquid supply system adapted to determine the volume of the metering chamber, this limitation was not part of either claim 8 or 9 and furter consideration and seach is required.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims were not considered containing a liquid system being adapted to determine a volume. In addition during the telephone interview of July 5, 2006, the claims and how some prior art would be applied were discussed; however, no agreement was reached.

KEVIN SHAVER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700